

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/171,583	11/24/1998	WILLIAM JOHN BAILLIE-HAMILTON	ROCKCOP39AUS	8228
20210 7	590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.			NEILS, PEGGY A	
FOURTH FLO 500 N. COMM	OOR IERCIAL STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MANCHESTE	ER, NH 03101-1151		2875	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		, A. I	1	
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)	
		09/171,583	JOHN	
	·	Examiner	Art Unit	1111
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Peggy A. Neils	2875	IMW
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cauon appears on the cover snee	t with the correspondence	address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION is so time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stating to reply within the set or extended period for reply weeply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma inication. I days, a reply within the statutory minimum of utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I vill, by statute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed filing (30) days will be considered ting MONTHS from the mailing date of thise ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	mely. s communication.
	Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on <i>10/14/200</i> 3		•
· -		b) This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practic	or allowance except for formal n		the merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>90-94,96-99 and 101-109</u> is	are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			
5)[🖂	Claim(s) 104-109 is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) 90-94,96-99,101-103 is/are	rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	,	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	- · ·		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	·		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form	PTO-152.
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the pri	locuments have been received. locuments have been received i	n Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies o application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies	not received.	
si 3	ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78.) The translation of the foreign lang	in the first sentence of the spec	ification or in an Application	
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for efference was included in the first sentence.	r domestic priority under 35 U.S	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 sind	
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notice	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948) 5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (F	

Art Unit: 2875

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 90-93, 96, 97 and 101-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Verderber for the reasons set forth in the last Office action.

Applicant has amended Claims 90, 101 and 103 to recite that the light emitting element, the light conducting element and the containment sleeve form a unitary optically integrated unit of "essentially identical external traverse section shapes and dimensions." The applicant states that he believes this new language distinguishes over Verderber. The Examiner disagrees. Looking at Figure 2 of Verderber shows the light emitting element 40 touching the inner surface of sleeve 30 and covering the space adjacent to the light conducting element 32. It is maintained that the three components of Verderber, the sleeve 30, light conducting element 32 and light emitting element 40 occupy essentially the same size diametric space and that Applicant's amended language is not sufficient to define over Verderber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/171,583

Art Unit: 2875

Claims 94 and 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verderber for the reasons set forth in the last Office action.

Applicant has not specifically addressed this ground of rejection but instead is relying upon the amended language of the independent claims.

Claim 98 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verderber as applied to claim 90 above, and further in view of Cecil for the reasons set forth in the last Office action.

Applicant has not specifically addressed this ground of rejection but instead is relying upon the amended language of the independent claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 104-109 are allowed.

In the last Office action Claim 100 was indicated as having allowable subject matter. Claim 100 has been cancelled and rewritten as new independent Claim 104.

Claims 105-109 are allowed because the independent claims contain similar limitations of "mechanically permanently joining the light emitting element and at least a portion of the light conducting element." This limitation was not shown or suggested by the prior art.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after Art Unit: 2875

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peggy A. Neils whose telephone number is (571) 272-2377. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday and Thursday.

Y. MY QUACH-LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER